Review

check for updates

Comparative Analysis of Agribusiness Scope: India vs. USA – Navigating Divergent Paths to Global Food Security and Economic Growth

Gurbir Singh*
P.G. Department of Agriculture, Khalsa College, Amritsar – 143001, India

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of the agribusiness sectors in India and the United States, highlighting their distinct characteristics, growth trajectories, and challenges. India's agribusiness is primarily characterized by its vast agrarian workforce, fragmented landholdings, and significant post-harvest losses. Despite these structural challenges, the sector is experiencing robust growth, driven by increasing domestic demand, government support, and a burgeoning agri-tech ecosystem focused on digital and sustainable farming practices. In contrast, the US agribusiness sector is defined by its large-scale, highly mechanized operations, exceptional productivity, and a mature value chain, though it faces substantial food waste at the consumer level. Its growth is propelled by advanced technology, consumer demand for sustainable products, and a strong market-oriented policy environment. The study reveals a fundamental divergence in scale, productivity, and policy priorities. India's approach centres on protecting farmer livelihoods and ensuring domestic food security, often through subsidies and import tariffs, which can sometimes hinder full global integration. The US, conversely, prioritizes efficiency, global market competitiveness, and technological dominance. Both nations are pivotal to global food security, yet their pathways to achieving this goal and the opportunities they present for investment and collaboration differ significantly. Strategic implications include the potential for targeted investments in India's value-added processing and smallholder-centric agtech, and for collaborative research and development in climate-resilient agriculture that can benefit both contexts.

KEYWORDS: Productivity, Policy priorities, Value added processing, Climate resilient agriculture

Received: Dec 18, 2025
Accepted: Jan 22, 2026
Published: Jan 24, 2026

***Corresponding author:**
Gurbir Singh
E-mail:
gurbirrattan@khalsacollege.edu.in

INTRODUCTION

This paper undertakes a detailed comparative study of the agribusiness sectors in India and the United States. It aims to meticulously analyze their respective economic contributions, market landscapes, underlying growth drivers, inherent challenges, and the intricate policy and regulatory environments that shape their development. The objective is to offer a holistic understanding of the opportunities and complexities within each market, viewed through a rigorous comparative lens, thereby providing a foundational analysis for strategic decision-making.

Significance of Agribusiness

Agribusiness serves as a foundational pillar for both the Indian and US economies, albeit with varying degrees of direct Gross Domestic Product (GDP) contribution and employment. Its profound role extends far beyond mere food production, encompassing critical aspects such as rural development, the provision of essential raw materials for diverse industries, and significant contributions to international trade. The health and vitality of the agribusiness sector are thus critical for national stability, economic prosperity, and the overarching goal of global food security.

Contextual Background

India, with its vast agrarian population, diverse agro-climatic zones, and a significant portion of its workforce engaged in agriculture, represents a dynamic and rapidly evolving agricultural powerhouse among developing economies. Its journey is marked by efforts to modernize traditional practices while addressing the unique socio-economic needs of its large farming community. The United States, on the other hand, stands as a highly developed agricultural economy, distinguished by its advanced technological integration, large-scale farming operations, and a substantial global market presence. Understanding these inherent structural and developmental differences is crucial for conducting a meaningful and insightful comparative analysis.

Economic Contribution and Market Landscape

Market Size and Growth Projections (2024-2034)

The Indian agriculture market was valued at approximately USD 457.26 billion in 2024 and is projected to expand at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 4.90 % from 2025 to 2034, reaching an estimated value of around USD 737.77 billion by the end of the forecast period. This robust growth is underpinned by the sector's consistent annual growth rate of approximately 5 % from FY17 to FY23, demonstrating resilience despite various challenges.

For the United States, understanding the market size requires a nuanced approach due to varying definitions across reports. The global agriculture market was valued at USD 14.36 trillion in 2024, with North America holding the second-largest regional share. A more specific evaluation of the global agribusiness market indicates a value of USD 3.4 trillion in 2024, with North America dominating this segment at 41.3% market share, and the United States alone accounting for a substantial 85.90% of the North American market. This implies a US agribusiness market value of approximately USD 1.2 trillion in 2024. The broader global agriculture market is expected to grow to USD 15.5 trillion in 2025 (CAGR 7.9%) and USD 20.63 trillion by 2029 (CAGR 7.4%).

It is important to note that different market reports may employ varying definitions for "agriculture" versus "agribusiness," which can lead to significant discrepancies in reported market sizes. For instance, while some reports might focus solely on raw agricultural production, others encompass the entire value chain, including inputs, processing, distribution, and services. The figures citing trillions of dollars for the global market generally reflect this broader scope, aligning more closely with the comprehensive economic contributions discussed below. Conversely, a figure like USD 121.74 billion for the global agribusiness market in 2024 likely refers to a very specific, narrower segment or a particular methodology, making it less representative of the overall sector's economic footprint. Therefore, when comparing market sizes, it is crucial to consider the scope of what is being measured to ensure an accurate understanding of the sector's scale.

Contribution to GDP/GVA and Employment

Agriculture remains a foundational element of India's economy, contributing approximately 14-16% to the country's GDP. While its share has seen a continuous decline from 35% in 1990-91 to around 15% in recent years, it still contributed a notable 18.3% to the Gross Value Added (GVA) in 2022-23. This sector is a massive employer, underpinning rural livelihoods by providing jobs for over 45 % of the workforce, translating to approximately 196.64 million people in 2020, representing 41 % of India's total employment.

In stark contrast, the agriculture, food, and related industries in the United States contributed roughly USD 1.537 trillion to the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) in 2023, representing a 5.5-5.6 % share of the national economy. The direct output of America's farms alone contributed a smaller proportion, about 0.8 % of U.S. GDP. The broader agricultural and food sectors directly created about 3.6 million jobs in 2022 , accounting for a mere 1% of total employment. This comparison underscores the economic maturity of the US, where developed countries typically see agriculture contributing only about 3% to their total GDP, reflecting a significant shift towards industrial and service sectors.

The disparity between agriculture's employment share and its GDP contribution in India highlights a significant socio-economic dynamic. A substantial portion of the Indian population remains reliant on a sector that, while fundamental for food security, does not generate equivalent economic value per capita compared to other sectors or developed nations. This indicates lower productivity per worker and, consequently, often lower income levels for a large segment of the population. This employment-GDP disconnect renders agricultural policy in India deeply intertwined with social welfare and poverty alleviation efforts. Government initiatives and trade stances, such as a reluctance to allow cheaper imports, are heavily influenced by the imperative to protect the livelihoods of millions of farmers, even if it means foregoing some economic efficiency or global integration. This fundamental concern for farmer welfare constitutes a core policy priority for India.

Key Sub-sectors and Value Chain Components

In India, the agribusiness sector encompasses a wide array of key sub-sectors, including Field Crops, Horticulture, Livestock & Dairying, Fishing & Aquaculture, and Forestry, alongside crucial Agriculture Research & Education. The livestock sector, primarily contributing through milk, meat, and eggs, accounts for a significant 30.9% of the overall agricultural output. Horticulture production is particularly robust, with estimates reaching 355.25 million tonnes in 2023-24. Beyond direct food production, the sector serves as a vital supplier of essential raw materials to various industries, including textiles, sugar, and oils, with recent government initiatives promoting ethanol blending providing an additional boost. The Indian agricultural value chain is also undergoing a notable transformation, with an increasing focus on processed food exports, which saw their share within total agri-food exports rise from 14.9 % in FY18 to 23.4 % in FY24. This indicates a strategic shift towards higher-value segments.

The United States agribusiness sector is comprehensively structured across five distinct economic sectors: Input, Production, Processing, Marketing and Sales, and Service. The Input sector provides essential resources like seeds, fertilizers, machinery, and credit. The Production sector involves crop cultivation, animal raising, and fishing. The Processing sector focuses on refining, value-adding, packaging, and branding agricultural products. The Marketing and Sales sector manages product distribution to consumers, while the Service sector provides various support functions. Major agricultural producing states include California, Iowa, and Nebraska. Key commodities, based on cash receipts, include cattle/calves, corn, soybeans, dairy products, and broilers. The US boasts the world's largest fed-cattle industry and is the leading global producer of beef. Beyond direct farm output, sectors closely related to agriculture, which contribute significantly to the overall US GDP, include food and beverage manufacturing, food stores, food services, textiles, apparel, leather products, and forestry and fishing.

The development of the value chain represents a crucial growth lever for India. The increasing share of processed food exports within agri-food exports points to a strategic imperative to move beyond raw commodity production. By focusing on value-added products such as mango pulp and

processed potatoes, and by integrating farmers more closely with processors through robust value chains, India can capture a greater share of the economic value derived from its agricultural output. This approach is essential not only for improving farmer incomes but also for diversifying India's export basket, which has historically been dominated by a few bulk commodities. The well-established and efficient processing and distribution networks in the US, as part of its mature value chain, offer valuable lessons for India's ongoing efforts to enhance its own post-harvest and processing capabilities.

Table 1. Key Agribusiness Market Statistics (India vs. USA)
This table provides a concise, comparative overview of fundamental economic and structural differences between the agribusiness sectors of India and the United States. Presenting these quantitative data points side-by-side allows for immediate and clear visual comparison, enabling a rapid grasp of the scale and nature of each country's agricultural landscape. These metrics form the bedrock for subsequent discussions on growth drivers, challenges, and policy impacts, serving as an essential reference point throughout the report.

Metric	India (Approx. 2024)	USA (Approx. 2024)
Agri/Agribusiness Market Size	USD 457.26 Billion (Agriculture Market)	USD 1.2 Trillion (Agribusiness, derived from global share)
Projected CAGR (2025-2034/2029)	4.90% (Agriculture Market)	7.4% (Global Agriculture Market to 2029)
Contribution to GDP/GVA	14-16% of GDP; 18.3% of GVA (2022-23)	5.5-5.6% of GDP (Agri, Food & Related Industries)
Employment in Agriculture	41-53% of total workforce (196.64 Mn people)	1% of total workforce (3.6 Mn jobs in agri, food & related)
Average Farm Size	1.08 Hectares	178-180 Hectares
Key Agricultural Exports (Value)	USD 51.9 Billion (2024-25)	>20% of production value (Leading: Soybeans, Corn, Wheat)

Growth Drivers and Opportunities

Technological Advancements and Innovation

India's agtech landscape has witnessed remarkable expansion, growing from fewer than 50 startups in 2013 to over 1,000 in 2020. This surge is primarily fueled by increasing farmer awareness, rising internet penetration in rural areas, and a growing demand for greater efficiency across the agriculture sector. Key technological advancements include the adoption of precision agriculture, autonomous machinery such as driverless tractors and AI-powered drones for monitoring and spraying, and the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) for data analysis related to resource allocation, energy and water management, and yield forecasting. Internet of Things (IoT) solutions are being deployed for livestock monitoring, while blockchain technology enhances supply chain transparency. The Digital Agriculture Mission (DAM), launched in 2021, specifically aims to leverage remote sensing, earth observation, and AI/ML to transform the sector. Furthermore, there is a strong emphasis on sustainable practices like agrivoltaics, which allows for dual income from crops and solar power while improving microclimates, vertical farming for urban food solutions, and agroforestry for long-term ecological benefits. Beyond institutional efforts, Indian farmers are also demonstrating local innovation, exemplified by the "tree scooter" for efficient harvesting, desert farming techniques for crops like dragon fruit, and solar dryers for post-harvest preservation. India is strategically positioning itself to become a major global vegetable seed hub by 2030, supported by significant research and development (R&D) investments from over 300 companies.

The United States agricultural sector boasts a long and distinguished history of technological innovation, from the mechanization brought by the steel plow and mechanical reaper in the 19th century to the widespread adoption of tractors. Productivity growth has been a major driving force, enabled by continuous innovations in animal and crop genetics, agricultural chemicals, equipment, and farm organization, which collectively led to a nearly tripling of farm output between 1948 and 2021 without a proportional increase in inputs. Current trends underscore rapid advancements in precision agriculture, with adoption rates exceeding 60%. Robotics and artificial intelligence are revolutionizing farming practices, with AI-powered algorithms analyzing vast datasets from sensors, drones, and satellites to optimize farming operations. Autonomous machinery, including tractors and robotic harvesters, is increasingly deployed to minimize manual labour requirements. The expansion of digital marketplaces is enhancing price transparency and logistics for farmers. Other significant innovations include advanced carbon monitoring tools, automated irrigation systems, controlled-environment agriculture (such as vertical farming and hydroponics), and cutting-edge crop genetics through techniques like CRISPR.

The distinct paths of technological adoption in India and the US highlight their unique structural realities. The US, with its large farm sizes and historical labour shortages, finds capital-intensive automation and advanced biotechnology economically viable and scalable. Its innovation ecosystem often focuses on maximizing yield and efficiency across vast tracts of land. Conversely, India's agricultural sector, characterized by small landholdings and a large agrarian workforce, necessitates solutions that are affordable, scalable for smallholders, and adaptable to diverse agro-climatic conditions. While India's adoption rate for precision agriculture is still under 10% , its agtech investment is strategically cantered on fundamental improvements like financing, climate risk mitigation, and basic efficiency gains. This difference in focus, however, presents substantial opportunities for mutual learning and collaboration. India's

innovations in agrivoltaics, agroforestry, and low-cost post-harvest solutions could offer valuable insights for sustainable practices globally. Conversely, US expertise in precision data analytics and advanced genetics could significantly aid India in improving yields and resource efficiency, provided these solutions are appropriately adapted for smallholder contexts. This suggests a pathway for both nations to contribute to and benefit from a more globally integrated, climate-smart agricultural future.

Consumer Demand Shifts and Value-Added Products

In India, the burgeoning middle class is a powerful catalyst, driving a discernible increase in demand for high-value and processed agricultural products. This includes a growing appetite for fruits, vegetables, and diverse protein sources such as dairy. This evolving consumer preference is creating significant opportunities within integrated agricultural value chains, particularly for sectors like livestock and plantation crops. The rise in disposable incomes is directly correlated with an increasing demand for a wider variety of food products, serving as a key driver for the agribusiness sector's expansion.

Similarly, in the United States, consumer preferences are undergoing a profound evolution, marked by a strong emphasis on health, sustainability, and ethical sourcing. This shift is fundamentally influencing farming practices, supply chain management, and marketing strategies across the industry. Farmers who can adapt effectively to these changing demands are discovering new market opportunities and strengthening their customer base. Furthermore, the broader global agriculture market is projected to experience growth specifically due to this shifting consumer preference towards healthier and more diverse diets.

The parallel trends in both nations underscore a global movement towards premiumization and diversification within the food sector. This consumer-driven shift is compelling agribusinesses to move beyond the traditional focus on bulk commodity production towards cultivating and marketing specialized, value-added products. For India, this translates into a strategic imperative to enhance its horticulture sector, expand processed food production, and promote organic farming initiatives. This strategic pivot is crucial for India to capture greater value from its agricultural output and to diversify its export basket. For the US, this trend reinforces the need for robust traceability systems and the adoption of sustainable practices to maintain market relevance and command premium pricing for its products. This global consumer evolution signals a fundamental reorientation of the agricultural industry, prioritizing quality, sustainability, and consumer-centric offerings over mere caloric output.

Government Initiatives and Investment Promotion

The Indian government actively champions the agriculture sector through a comprehensive suite of structural reforms, strategic investments, and initiatives aimed at increased formalization. Key measures include the consistent raising of Minimum Support Prices (MSP) for various crops, a policy designed to ensure stable incomes for farmers. Significant schemes like the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Sampada Yojana (PMKSY) and the Production Linked Incentive Scheme for Food Processing (PLISFPI) are specifically designed to bolster the food processing industry, with PMKSY completing 1,079 projects and PLISFPI approving 171 applications by October 2024. The Pradhan Mantri Formalisation of Micro Food Processing Enterprises (PMFME) scheme has further extended financial assistance, sanctioning loans totalling ₹8.63 thousand crore to over 108,000 applicants. The new agricultural policy for 2025 places a strong emphasis on integrating digital and precision farming, enhancing climate resilience, ensuring food security, and empowering farmers. Financial inclusion is a core priority, with efforts towards universal access to affordable crop loans and revamped insurance schemes, including collateral-free loans streamlined by satellite-based land verification. The e-NAM (National Agriculture Market) platform has been instrumental in improving price transparency and direct market access for farmers by integrating 1,260 APMC mandis across various states and union territories. Furthermore, the government is actively promoting natural farming and organic farming, with India ranking 4th globally in certified organic area, and plans to establish 10,000 bio input resource centres. Substantial public investment is also earmarked for developing Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) in agriculture, aiming to bring over 6 crore farmers under a formal land registry system. Despite these extensive efforts, the average subsidy per farmer in India ($282 in 2018-19) remains significantly lower than in the US.

In the United States, the Department of Agriculture (USDA) provides extensive programs designed to help producers manage risk, protect operations from natural disasters, and offer price support for revenue fluctuations. The Farmers.gov platform serves as a central hub for accessing resources related to loans, farm records, and disaster recovery assistance. The Market Access Program (MAP), administered by USDA-FAS, provides substantial funding, with $200 million allocated, to support expanded exports and market diversification efforts by various agricultural trade organizations. US agricultural-environmental policy actively addresses concerns such as soil quality, water quality, wildlife habitat, and greenhouse gas emissions, providing both financial and technical assistance for a range of conservation activities.

The policy philosophies of India and the US, while both aimed at agricultural sector strength, diverge significantly, reflecting their distinct national priorities and developmental stages. India's extensive government support, characterized by MSP and direct financial aid, is primarily driven by the imperative of social stability and ensuring food security for its vast, fragmented farming base. This approach, while crucial for maintaining rural livelihoods, can sometimes lead to market distortions. However, recent initiatives like the Digital Public Infrastructure and e-NAM demonstrate a strategic pivot towards improving market efficiency and formalization. Conversely, the US's policies are geared towards supporting a highly productive, market-oriented sector, underpinned by substantial per-farmer subsidies, robust risk management programs, and aggressive export promotion. This fosters global competitiveness but also leads to reliance on international markets and can contribute to trade disputes. The differences in these policy frameworks fundamentally shape the investment landscape and competitive dynamics within each nation's agribusiness sector.

Table 2. Key Technological Adoption & Innovation Trends (India vs. USA)
This table offers a direct comparative view of the technological landscape and innovation trends in the agribusiness sectors of India and the United States. By presenting key technologies, their estimated adoption rates, primary benefits, and associated challenges side-by-side, it provides a clear understanding of where each country stands in its technological evolution. This comparison is crucial for identifying areas of technological leadership, potential for technology transfer, and specific barriers that need to be addressed for broader adoption, thereby informing strategic decisions for sustainable growth and efficiency.

Technology / Innovation	India (Approx. 2024)	USA (Approx. 2024)
Precision Agriculture	Adoption under 10%. AI/ML for resource allocation, yield forecasting.	Adoption ~55-65%. GPS, satellite imaging, data analytics for input optimization.
AI/ML in Agriculture	Digital Agriculture Mission (DAM). AI for debt reduction, input optimization, financial health.	AI-powered algorithms analyze sensor/drone/satellite data. AI-based advisory systems.
Robotics & Drones	Driverless tractors, AI drones for monitoring/spraying. Robotics in harvesting/soil analysis.	Autonomous tractors, drones, robotic harvesters. Minimize manual labor.
Biotechnology / GM Crops	Focus on seed R&D, hybridization. GM crops for feed (soy, canola), 90% cotton GM.	GM crops adoption ~85% (corn, soy), 94% (cotton). +30-50% yield, pest resistance.
Blockchain Technology	Supply chain transparency, traceability of transactions.	Product traceability from farm to fork. Improved food safety, reduced fraud.
Agrivoltaics / CEA	Dual income from crops & solar, improved microclimate. Vertical farming for urban solutions.	Controlled-Environment Agriculture (CEA). Vertical farming, hydroponics for year-round production.
Key Challenges to Adoption	Digital literacy, high upfront costs, rural infrastructure. Fragmented regulatory architecture.	Knowledge deficiency for smallholders. Declining public R&D investment.

Challenges and Constraints

Infrastructure and Supply Chain Inefficiencies

India's agribusiness sector grapples with pervasive infrastructure deficiencies, including poor road networks, limited cold storage facilities, and inefficient transportation systems, which collectively lead to high distribution costs and substantial post-harvest losses. The country suffers an estimated food loss of approximately USD 18.5 billion annually between 2020 and 2022, representing about 22 % of its foodgrain output. These losses are particularly acute for perishable commodities like fruits and vegetables, primarily due to inadequate cold chain infrastructure. Furthermore, the existing Agricultural Produce Market Committees (APMCs) in India do not possess infrastructure comparable to the wholesale markets found in the EU or US, highlighting a significant gap in market-enabling infrastructure.

In the United States, while infrastructure is highly developed, the agribusiness sector faces its own set of challenges, including rising input costs and volatility in freight expenses. A significant issue is food waste, estimated at between 30-40% of the total food supply, amounting to approximately 133 billion pounds and $161 billion worth of food in 2010. This waste primarily occurs at the retail and consumer levels, stemming from factors such as over-ordering by retailers, the culling of blemished produce, equipment malfunctions, and consumer behaviours like impulsive purchasing, buying more than needed, and misunderstanding expiration labels.

The distinct nature of food loss in each country necessitates differentiated approaches to mitigation. India's challenge lies predominantly in post-harvest losses (PHL), which are a direct consequence of its underdeveloped physical infrastructure, including insufficient cold chains, storage facilities, and transport networks. Addressing this requires significant investment in building foundational infrastructure and integrating farmers into more efficient, formalized value chains to prevent spoilage and waste at the production and initial distribution stages. Conversely, the US's primary issue is food waste occurring later in the supply chain, at the retail and consumer ends. While some infrastructure improvements may be beneficial, the core solutions involve shifting consumer habits through education, improving food labeling clarity, and optimizing retail practices. This highlights that while both nations aim for food security and sustainability, their respective strategies for reducing food loss must be tailored to their unique agricultural structures and economic development stages, implying different investment priorities and policy levers.

Landholding Patterns and Labor Dynamics

Indian agriculture is predominantly characterized by small and fragmented landholdings, with an average farm size of merely 1.08 hectares. This fragmentation, often exacerbated by traditional inheritance practices that divide land among heirs, severely limits the realization of economies of scale and efficient farm operations. Labor issues also pose a significant challenge, as urban migration and rising wages, partly influenced by schemes like MGNREGS, have led to shortages of agricultural labour in rural areas. With agriculture employing a massive 196.64 million people, labour dynamics remain a critical socio-economic factor.

In stark contrast, US farms average much larger 178 hectares, underscoring the vast difference in scale. Almost all farms in the United States (96%) are family-owned, and they account for the majority (83%) of the value of farm production, with

large family farms (those with at least a million dollars in annual gross cash farm income) contributing 48% of the total value. Despite the larger scale, farm labour availability and cost remain persistent concerns for US agricultural operations, with 60% of industry stakeholders reporting that these conditions have worsened. A significant portion (69%) of the crop farming workforce in the US is composed of immigrants, and rising wages continue to push up production costs. Consequently, automation and mechanization are increasingly viewed as essential solutions to address these ongoing labour challenges.

The stark difference in average farm size between India and the US fundamentally determines the operational efficiency and viability of technology adoption in each country. India's small, fragmented landholdings directly impede widespread mechanization, lead to higher per-unit costs for inputs, and diminish farmers' bargaining power in the market. This structural reality makes large-scale, capital-intensive agricultural machinery and advanced precision farming solutions, common in the US, less economically rational for individual Indian farmers. Conversely, the large farm sizes in the US enable significant investments in advanced machinery and precision agriculture technologies, which are critical for maintaining high productivity and offsetting labour shortages. This fundamental difference in scale shapes labour dynamics—India contending with a large, often underemployed workforce, while the US faces labour scarcity and relies on technological solutions. Policy interventions, such as the promotion of model land leasing acts in India, become crucial to addressing the inefficiencies stemming from land fragmentation and unlocking greater productivity.

Climate Vulnerability and Resource Scarcity

Indian agriculture is highly susceptible to the vagaries of erratic weather patterns, largely due to a significant portion of its cultivated land being rain-fed, resulting in inadequate irrigation facilities. The impacts of climate change are a major concern, necessitating urgent adaptation strategies and the promotion of environmentally friendly farming practices. Furthermore, extensive use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides has led to land degradation, significantly reducing soil fertility. Water scarcity and the persistence of outdated agricultural practices further exacerbate the sector's vulnerability.

In the United States, agriculture also faces considerable challenges from extreme weather events, which are becoming more frequent and severe, with major implications for crop production, including prolonged drought conditions. Consequently, environmental sustainability has emerged as a critical driving factor for agricultural research and development (R&D) efforts. These efforts are focused on reducing greenhouse gas emissions, minimizing pesticide use, and conserving vital natural resources such as water and soil.

Climate change has unequivocally become a universal driver for innovation and policy in the agricultural sector worldwide. It mandates a fundamental shift towards resource-efficient and climate-smart agriculture. While India's vulnerability to climate impacts is comparatively higher, leading to a strong policy focus on adaptation and water conservation , the US's R&D efforts in developing drought-resilient crops and precision irrigation systems are equally vital for its own long-term sustainability. These innovations, while developed for specific national contexts, hold significant potential for broader application and mutual learning globally. This shared, pressing challenge creates a strong impetus for international collaboration on agricultural research, fostering the development and dissemination of solutions that can enhance resilience and productivity across diverse agro-climatic zones.

Market Volatility and Access to Capital

In India, farmers frequently face a reliance on high-interest loans from informal sources, which often traps them in a cycle of debt. This situation is largely a consequence of their limited access to formal institutional credit. Compounding these financial pressures, significant challenges include pervasive price volatility and inherent income instability for farmers, making financial planning and investment difficult.

The United States agribusiness sector also contends with substantial market volatility. Price fluctuations have become a defining challenge, particularly within the fresh produce segment, which experienced 70% annualized volatility at the shipping point in 2024. Furthermore, limited access to capital serves as a key barrier to growth for many agricultural operations. Financial institutions often exhibit hesitation in providing support to the sector, primarily due to its inherent volatility and a perceived lack of understanding of its complexities.

Financial resilience represents a universal vulnerability within the agricultural sector, impacting both developed and developing economies, albeit with differing manifestations. In India, the problem is exacerbated by the sheer prevalence of smallholder farmers who often lack the collateral required for formal loans and are thus compelled to rely on informal, high-interest credit. This makes them particularly susceptible to market shocks. In the US, despite the larger scale of farm operations, the intrinsic volatility of agricultural markets makes financial institutions cautious, limiting the availability of capital for investment and expansion. This highlights that while the scale and nature of the problem differ, financial instability poses a universal threat to agricultural sustainability. India's policy focus on universal access to affordable crop loans and revamped insurance schemes is crucial for providing a safety net to its smallholders. The US, on the other hand, needs to explore innovative financing models that can de-risk agricultural investments and develop more effective mechanisms to stabilize farm incomes against price fluctuations. This underscores the need for robust financial safety nets and market stabilization mechanisms tailored to the unique contexts of both developed and developing agricultural economies.

Table 3. Comparative Overview of Agribusiness Challenges (India vs. USA)
This table offers a structured, side-by-side comparison of the key challenges confronting the agribusiness sectors in India and the United States. By detailing how issues such as infrastructure, landholding patterns, labour dynamics, climate vulnerability, food loss, and access to capital manifest differently in each country, it provides a clear understanding of their distinct operational environments. This visual representation is invaluable for policymakers, as it highlights specific areas where interventions are most needed and where different approaches might be required, thereby fostering more effective problem-solving and targeted strategic planning.

Challenge Category	India (Approx. 2024)	USA (Approx. 2024)
Infrastructure (Cold Chain, Transport)	Inadequate, poor roads, limited cold storage, high distribution costs. No APMC matches EU/US infrastructure.	Rising freight volatility, storage costs. Efficient overall, but specific issues like equipment malfunction at retail.
Landholding (Fragmentation, Size)	Small (avg. 1.08 ha), fragmented, limits economies of scale.	Large (avg. 178-180 ha), 96% family farms.
Labour (Availability, Cost, Workforce)	Urban migration, rising wages, labour shortages. 196.64 Mn employed.	Availability & cost worsened 69% immigrants in crop farming. Automation as solution.
Climate Vulnerability (Drought, Extreme Weather)	High vulnerability, rain-fed agriculture, water scarcity.	Extreme weather events increasing, prolonged drought.
Post-Harvest Loss / Food Waste	~$18.5 Bn/year PHL (22% foodgrain output). Acute in perishables.	30-40% of food supply wasted (~$161 Bn). Primarily retail & consumer levels.
Access to Capital	Limited institutional credit, reliance on high-interest informal loans.	Key barrier to growth, financial institutions hesitant due to volatility.
Market Volatility	Price volatility, income instability for farmers.	Significant price fluctuations, especially fresh produce.

Policy and Regulatory Environment

Government Support and Subsidies

The Indian government provides substantial support to its agriculture sector through various mechanisms. This includes the implementation of Minimum Support Prices (MSP) for numerous crops, which have seen significant increases to bolster farmer incomes. Key initiatives such as the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Sampada Yojana (PMKSY) and the Production Linked Incentive Scheme for Food Processing (PLISFPI) are designed to catalyze growth in the food processing industry. The Pradhan Mantri Formalisation of Micro Food Processing Enterprises (PMFME) further extends financial assistance to small-scale units. NABARD, as a channel partner, facilitates a range of government-sponsored schemes aimed at enhancing capital investment, ensuring sustained income flow, and generating employment, including the New Agricultural Marketing Infrastructure (AMI) and the Interest Subvention Scheme. The new agricultural policy for 2025 prioritizes universal access to affordable crop loans and revamped crop insurance schemes, with streamlined procedures like satellite-based land verification for collateral-free loans. However, despite these extensive programs, the average subsidy per farmer in India ($282 in 2018-19) is notably lower than in the United States.

In the United States, farmers benefit from significantly higher government support, with an average of $61,286 per farmer in 2016. The USDA offers a comprehensive suite of programs for risk management, assistance during natural disasters (such as floods or H5N1 outbreaks), and price support mechanisms to mitigate drops in prices or revenues. Prominent programs include the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), which provide financial and technical assistance for conservation activities. Additionally, the Market Access Program (MAP) by USDA-FAS allocates substantial funding, $200 million, to promote expanded exports and market diversification.

The stark disparity in per-farmer subsidies between India and the US is a critical point of divergence and a major source of contention in international trade negotiations. While India's average subsidy per farmer is significantly lower, the sheer number of farmers it supports means that the total outlay is substantial, leading to arguments about market distortions. Conversely, the US, with its fewer but highly productive farmers, provides much larger per-farmer subsidies, which are seen as essential for maintaining the competitiveness of its large, capital-intensive farms and enabling high productivity and exports. This fundamental difference in subsidy levels reflects the divergent agricultural models and political priorities of each nation. India's approach is deeply rooted in providing a social safety net and ensuring food security for its massive agrarian population, making agricultural policy a matter of national livelihood. The US, on the other hand, leverages subsidies to enhance the global competitiveness of its agricultural sector. These contrasting approaches often lead to stalemates in bilateral trade negotiations, as seen in past discussions, underscoring how deeply embedded agricultural policies are in national sovereignty and social stability.

Trade Policies and Tariffs

India maintains a largely protectionist stance regarding its agricultural sector. It imposes a significant average import tax of 39 % on farm goods, with tariffs escalating to as high as 65 % on the most frequently imported items. This policy clearly reflects India's strong intent to safeguard its domestic farmers from external competition. India has consistently drawn "red lines" in trade talks with the US concerning agriculture and dairy products, citing profound cultural, livelihood, and food safety concerns, particularly regarding the import of genetically modified (GM) crops and dairy products derived from animals fed animal-based products. Historically, India has also generally opted to exclude agriculture from its Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) with other nations.

In contrast, the United States maintains a more open trade policy for agricultural imports, with a simple average import tax of just 5 % and a trade-weighted rate of 4 %. The US actively advocates for greater market access for its agricultural and dairy products in other countries, including India, viewing this as a priority driven by its politically influential farming sector. However, the US has also employed reciprocal tariffs, such as a 26 % tariff imposed on various Indian imports, which has impacted Indian seafood, rice, spices, dairy, and processed food exports. While this tariff presents challenges, some

Indian exports, like shrimp, may retain competitiveness due to even higher tariffs imposed by the US on rival exporters from other countries.

The interplay between geopolitics and domestic politics is profoundly intertwined with agricultural trade, particularly evident in the trade relations between India and the US. India's high tariffs and firm resistance to GM crop and certain dairy imports directly clash with the US's demands for market access and lower tariffs. This tension is not merely an economic calculation but a complex interplay of national interests. For India, its trade policy is driven by the imperative to protect the livelihoods of a vast segment of its population (approximately 40 % employed in agriculture) and to uphold deeply held cultural and religious values, particularly concerning food safety and animal welfare. For the US, its trade stance is influenced by the need to support its highly productive and politically powerful agricultural sector, which relies heavily on export markets. The resulting "stalemate" in trade talks and the "depleting reservoir of trust" underscore how deeply agricultural policies are embedded in national sovereignty, food security strategies, and social stability. This means that achieving comprehensive agricultural trade agreements between these two nations requires a nuanced understanding of their respective domestic constraints and a willingness to address non-economic concerns that transcend purely economic logic.

Food Safety and Environmental Regulations

In India, food safety is rigorously overseen by the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI), which was established under the Food Safety and Standards Act of 2006. The FSSAI is responsible for laying down and regulating standards for the manufacture, storage, export, import, sale, and distribution of food and food items. Recent amendments to food product standards, effective February 2026, aim to further enhance food safety, quality, and compliance for both domestic and imported products. India also operates under a complex framework of environmental regulations, including the Environment (Protection) Act of 1986, the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act of 1974, and the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act. These are overseen by the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change (MoEFCC) at the federal level, with implementation and enforcement carried out by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs). India has a National Action Plan on Climate Change and ranks 4th globally in climate investment opportunities, with ambitious targets to achieve 500 GW of non-fossil energy capacity and meet 50 % of its energy requirements from renewables by 2030.

In the United States, food safety and inspection are primarily regulated at the federal level by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS). The FDA oversees over 80% of the food supply, including seafood, dairy, and produce, while FSIS regulates meat, poultry, and processed egg products. Businesses are mandated to follow Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) or Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) protocols. Environmental considerations in US agriculture are governed by regulations such as the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which requires federal agencies, including the Farm Service Agency (FSA), to assess the environmental effects of their projects and programs. This includes evaluating impacts on natural resources, wildlife, water quality, and greenhouse gas emissions. While the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) NEPA regulations were repealed in April 2025, USDA agencies maintained their own supplementary regulations to ensure continued environmental compliance.

Both India and the US have established robust regulatory bodies to ensure food safety and manage environmental impacts, yet their frameworks reflect their unique challenges and developmental stages. India's food safety regulations are crucial for overseeing a vast, diverse, and often informal food sector, with continuous updates to enhance standards. Its environmental regulations are vital for addressing issues like land degradation, water scarcity, and pollution stemming from rapid economic expansion and intensive agricultural practices. A key divergence lies in India's strict stance on genetically modified (GM) crops, driven by a precautionary principle, dietary sensitivities, and cultural values, which significantly impacts trade relations. The US, with its highly industrialized agriculture, focuses its food safety efforts on specific high-risk product categories like meat and poultry, and its environmental policies increasingly target broader concerns such as greenhouse gas emissions and sustainable resource management. The increasing integration of climate change considerations into policy in both nations suggests a potential area for future regulatory alignment or mutual learning in developing sustainable agricultural practices.

Land Ownership Laws

In India, land laws are specifically designed to safeguard farmers' interests and preserve the integrity of farming practices. Many Indian states, including Karnataka, Maharashtra, and Himachal Pradesh, enforce stringent restrictions, permitting only individuals classified as "agriculturists" to purchase or inherit agricultural land. Furthermore, Land Ceiling Laws impose limits on the amount of agricultural land an individual or family can own; for instance, in Tamil Nadu, a family can own a maximum of 15 acres of wetland or 30 acres of dry land. Any conversion of agricultural land to residential or commercial use requires explicit permission from local authorities, a process regulated by various state laws. Additionally, tenancy laws are in place in some states to protect the rights of tenants who lease agricultural land, preventing unfair eviction and ensuring equitable treatment.

In the United States, there are no states with an absolute prohibition on foreign ownership of agricultural land. However, approximately 26 to 28 states specifically forbid or limit non-resident aliens, foreign business entities, or foreign governments from acquiring or owning an interest in private agricultural land within their borders. State laws exhibit wide variations in their definitions of "agricultural land" and "farming," the types of foreign investors restricted, and the permissible acreage limits. At the federal level, the Agricultural Foreign Investment Disclosure Act (AFIDA) of 1978 mandates reporting of foreign investments in US agricultural land. Reflecting growing concerns, many states have proposed or enacted new legislation to further restrict foreign investments in farmland.

Land ownership laws in both nations serve as strategic policy tools, reflecting distinct national priorities and developmental stages. India's restrictions, which primarily limit land

ownership to "agriculturists" and impose ceiling limits, are fundamentally rooted in protecting the livelihoods of its vast agrarian population, preventing land speculation, and ensuring that agricultural land remains dedicated to farming purposes. This approach aims to preserve the agrarian character of the country and prevent the displacement of smallholders. Conversely, the US's restrictions on foreign ownership are predominantly driven by national security concerns, the imperative of food security, and the protection of domestic agricultural interests, particularly in the context of large-scale foreign land acquisitions. These divergent legal frameworks significantly influence investment flows, the potential for farm consolidation, and the overall structural evolution of the agricultural sector in each country.

Table 4. Comparative Regulatory and Policy Frameworks (India vs. USA)
This table provides a structured and detailed comparison of the regulatory philosophies and specific rules governing agribusiness in India and the United States. Given the complexity of laws, acts, and policies in this sector, a side-by-side presentation is critical for stakeholders to quickly grasp the nuances. It clearly illustrates policy-driven barriers to trade, such as stances on GM crops and tariffs, as well as areas of potential alignment or divergence, which are crucial for strategic decision-making in international agribusiness operations and investments.

Policy/Regulatory Aspect	India (Approx. 2024)	USA (Approx. 2024)
Government Support/Subsidies (Per Farmer Average)	~$282 (2018-19)	~$61,286 (2016)
Trade Tariffs (Average Import Tax on Ag Goods)	39 % average, up to 65 % on most imported items	5 % average, 4 % trade-weighted
GM Crop Policy	Generally unwilling to allow GM food crops due to cross-pollination, dietary sensitivities. GM cotton >90%.	Widespread adoption (85% corn/soy, 94% cotton). Actively promotes GM produce exports.
Dairy Import Policy	Firmly maintains ban on dairy from cows fed animal-derived feed due to cultural/religious values.	Pushes for market access for its dairy products.
Land Ownership Restrictions	Only "agriculturists" can buy/inherit in many states. Land ceiling laws.	~26-28 states limit foreign ownership of private agricultural land.
Food Safety Regulators	Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI)	FDA (80 % food supply), USDA FSIS (meat, poultry, processed egg)
Key Environmental Regulations	Environment (Protection) Act, Water/Air Acts. MoEFCC, CPCB.	NEPA, FSA environmental reviews. Focus on soil, water, GHG.

Comparative Analysis and Key Differentiators

Scale, Mechanization, and Productivity

The agribusiness sectors of India and the United States are fundamentally differentiated by their operational scale, levels of mechanization, and resulting productivity. India's agricultural landscape is characterized by its small, fragmented landholdings, averaging a mere 1.08 hectares. This fragmentation significantly limits the realization of economies of scale and necessitates a greater reliance on manual labour, with mechanization levels remaining limited to mid-level applications, particularly in smallholdings. Consequently, India's agricultural productivity, especially for staple crops like soybean (1 tonne/hectare) and maize (3.5 tonnes/hectare), lags significantly behind that of the US.

In sharp contrast, the United States is defined by its expansive average farm sizes, typically around 178 hectares. This large scale enables the widespread adoption of advanced agricultural machinery, including GPS-guided tractors, automated irrigation systems, and robotic harvesters. This high level of mechanization facilitates high-scale, high-output operations. As a result, US agricultural productivity is substantially higher, with soybean yields reaching 3.4 tonnes/hectare and maize at 11.1 tonnes/hectare.

This "productivity gap" is a critical determinant of global agricultural dynamics, influencing food prices, trade flows, and the competitive advantage of each nation. For India, closing this gap is paramount for enhancing food security, improving farmer incomes, and ensuring the long-term viability of its agricultural sector. The fragmented nature of landholdings in India makes high capital investment in machinery and advanced technology less economically rational for individual farmers, necessitating collective or policy-driven solutions. For the US, maintaining this productivity advantage requires continuous innovation and strategic investments in automation to address persistent labour challenges. This fundamental difference in agricultural structure and productivity also explains, in part, the divergent stances on trade policies and the levels of government subsidies provided by each country, as they seek to support their distinct agricultural models.

Policy Approaches and Their Impact

The policy approaches governing agribusiness in India and the United States reflect their distinct national priorities and stages of economic development. India's agricultural policies are largely driven by profound social and livelihood imperatives, aiming to protect its vast agrarian population. This is evident in measures such as Minimum Support Prices (MSP), various direct financial support schemes, and the imposition of high import tariffs on agricultural goods. While this approach is crucial for ensuring domestic food security and maintaining rural stability, it can, at times, lead to market distortions and a slower pace of integration with global value chains. However, recent policy shifts towards formalizing and

digitizing the sector, promoting financial inclusion, and encouraging sustainable practices indicate a strategic evolution towards greater efficiency and resilience.

Conversely, the United States' agricultural policies are primarily geared towards supporting a highly productive, market-oriented sector. This is demonstrated through substantial per-farmer subsidies, robust risk management programs, and an aggressive stance on export promotion. This policy framework fosters global competitiveness and enables the US to be a major player in international agricultural trade. However, it also leads to a degree of reliance on global markets and can contribute to trade disputes with other nations. Furthermore, US environmental policies increasingly focus on conservation and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, reflecting a commitment to sustainable practices within an advanced economic context.

The divergence in policy philosophies—India's emphasis on social welfare and livelihood protection versus the US's focus on market efficiency and global competitiveness—is a direct reflection of their respective national development stages and priorities. India's policies are shaped by the need to ensure basic food security and stable incomes for a large, often low-income, rural population. The US, with its smaller agricultural workforce and high productivity, can afford to prioritize market mechanisms and global trade. These contrasting approaches significantly impact investment flows, the structure of agricultural production, and the overall competitiveness of each nation's agribusiness sector on the global stage.

Innovation Ecosystems and Adoption Pathways

The innovation ecosystems and technological adoption pathways in India and the United States exhibit distinct characteristics, shaped by their unique agricultural structures and market needs. In India, the agtech sector is burgeoning, with a rapid increase in startups focusing on solutions tailored to smallholder farmers. Innovations often center on improving basic efficiencies, providing financial access, and mitigating climate risks, such as affordable precision farming tools, AI-powered advisory systems for smallholders, and technologies like agrivoltaics that offer dual benefits. However, adoption rates for advanced technologies remain relatively low (e.g., under 10% for precision agriculture) due to challenges like digital literacy, high upfront costs, and inadequate rural infrastructure. The regulatory environment is gradually evolving to support this growth, with government initiatives like the Digital Agriculture Mission.

The United States, building on a long history of agricultural innovation, leads in the development and adoption of high-tech, large-scale solutions. Its innovation ecosystem is characterized by widespread use of advanced machinery, sophisticated AI/ML for data analytics, and cutting-edge biotechnology, including genetically modified crops with high adoption rates. These innovations are driven by the imperative to maximize productivity, address labor shortages, and enhance resource efficiency across vast farmlands. While adoption rates for technologies like precision farming are high, there are concerns about declining public R&D investment and ensuring accessibility for all farm sizes.

The unique challenges and opportunities in each country lead to distinct innovation ecosystems and adoption pathways. The US model, driven by large farm sizes and a focus on maximizing yield, invests heavily in capital-intensive technologies that might not be directly transferable to India's smallholder context. Conversely, India's innovations, often focused on affordability, scalability, and climate resilience for small farms, could provide valuable models for sustainable agriculture in other developing regions. This suggests that while both nations are advancing technologically, their structural differences necessitate tailored solutions and offer avenues for collaborative R&D, particularly in climate-smart agriculture, where mutual learning can bridge the innovation gap and foster sustainable productivity globally.

Global Market Integration and Export Potential

India's agricultural exports have shown significant growth, reaching USD 51.9 billion in 2024-25. Despite being the world's second-largest agricultural producer, India's share of global agricultural exports remains modest at 2.2 %. The government has set an ambitious target of achieving USD 100 billion in agri-exports, with a strategic shift from staples like rice and wheat towards high-value products such as fruits, vegetables, and processed foods. Initiatives include establishing integrated export clusters and negotiating Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) with key markets. However, challenges persist, including a historical skew towards a few commodities (82 % dominated by rice, marine products, spices, sugar, and buffalo meat), inadequate cold chain infrastructure, and unstable policy environments (e.g., frequent export bans) that undermine India's credibility as a reliable supplier.

The United States maintains a strong export orientation, with agricultural exports accounting for over 20 % of the value of its agricultural production. Leading export products consistently include bulk commodities like soybeans, corn, and wheat, alongside high-value products such as feeds, beef, and pork. The US actively promotes its agricultural exports through programs like the Market Access Program (MAP), which supports market diversification. Its global market integration is influenced by trade agreements, geopolitical factors, and evolving consumer preferences. While benefiting from its strong export position, the US also faces challenges related to trade disputes and shifts in global demand and supply patterns.

The levels of global market integration and export potential for India and the US are shaped by their distinct agricultural structures and policy priorities. India's path to increased global integration involves overcoming internal supply chain inefficiencies and adopting more stable, market-oriented export policies to become a dependable supplier of high-value processed foods. This would allow it to move beyond its current role as a primary commodity exporter and capture greater value. The US, with its highly productive and export-reliant sector, focuses on maintaining its competitive edge through technological advancement and navigating complex international trade dynamics. The strategic implications for both nations involve leveraging their unique strengths while addressing their respective weaknesses to enhance their roles in global food security and economic growth.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The comparative analysis reveals that the agribusiness sectors of India and the United States, while both critical to global food security, operate on fundamentally different scales and

are shaped by divergent socio-economic imperatives and policy philosophies. India's sector is characterized by its vast, labour-intensive, and fragmented farming landscape, where agriculture serves as a primary livelihood for a significant portion of the population. This necessitates policies focused on farmer welfare, food security, and gradual modernization. The US, conversely, embodies a highly mechanized, capital-intensive, and export-oriented agricultural model, driven by advanced technology and market efficiency.

Key Conclusions:

1. **Scale and Productivity Divide:** The immense difference in average farm size (1.08 ha in India vs. 178 ha in the US) is the most profound differentiator, influencing mechanization, technology adoption, and overall productivity. This gap explains the higher per-hectare yields in the US and the lower per-capita value addition in Indian agriculture.

2. **Policy Priorities Reflect National Context:** India's policies are heavily geared towards protecting livelihoods and ensuring domestic food security for its large agrarian population, often through direct support and import tariffs. The US policies, while also providing support, prioritize market competitiveness, export promotion, and environmental stewardship within a highly industrialized system. These differing priorities lead to trade stalemates and complex bilateral relations.

3. **Differentiated Food Loss Challenges:** Both nations face significant food loss, but at different points in the value chain. India struggles with substantial post-harvest losses due to inadequate infrastructure, while the US contends with considerable food waste primarily at the retail and consumer levels. This mandates distinct solutions tailored to each country's specific challenges.

4. **Evolving Innovation Ecosystems:** Both countries are investing in agtech, but their innovation ecosystems are shaped by their structural realities. The US leads in large-scale, capital-intensive technologies (AI, robotics, biotech for yield), while India's focus is on affordable, scalable solutions for smallholders, climate resilience, and fundamental efficiency gains.

5. **Global Market Integration:** The US is deeply integrated into global agricultural markets, with exports forming a significant portion of its production. India, despite its large production, has a modest global export share and faces challenges in diversifying its export basket and ensuring policy stability to become a reliable global supplier of value-added products.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **For India (Focus on Efficiency, Value-Addition, and Scalable Tech):**

- **Invest in Post-Harvest Infrastructure:** Prioritize significant investments in cold chain facilities, modern warehouses, and efficient transportation networks to drastically reduce post-harvest losses and improve supply chain efficiency.

- **Promote Value-Added Processing:** Encourage and incentivize the growth of the food processing industry through schemes like PLISFPI and PMKSY, enabling a shift from raw commodity exports to higher-value processed products.

- **Tailored Agtech Adoption:** Focus on developing and promoting agri-tech solutions that are affordable, easy to use, and scalable for small and fragmented landholdings. This includes digital literacy programs, accessible financing, and robust rural internet infrastructure to bridge the adoption gap.

- **Policy Stability for Exports:** Implement consistent and predictable export policies, avoiding sudden bans or restrictions, to build India's credibility as a reliable supplier in global markets.

- **Land Reform and Consolidation:** Explore and implement policies, such as the Model Land Leasing Act, to encourage land consolidation or cooperative farming models that can improve economies of scale and enable greater mechanization.

2. **For the United States (Focus on Sustainability, Waste Reduction, and Global Leadership):**

- **Sustain Agricultural R&D Investment:** Reverse the trend of declining public R&D investment to maintain leadership in agricultural innovation, particularly in climate-smart agriculture, drought-resilient crops, and resource-efficient practices.

- **Address Food Waste Systemically:** Implement comprehensive strategies to reduce food waste at the retail and consumer levels through consumer education, improved labelling standards, and incentivizing retailers to minimize over-ordering and culling.

- **Navigate Trade Complexities:** Continue to engage in nuanced trade negotiations that acknowledge the unique socio-economic and cultural sensitivities of trading partners, particularly regarding issues like GM crops and dairy, to foster more stable and mutually beneficial trade relationships.

- **Support Workforce Development:** Address farm labor challenges through continued investment in automation and mechanization, alongside initiatives to ensure a sustainable and diverse agricultural workforce.

3. **For Both Nations (Areas for Collaboration):**

- **Climate-Smart Agriculture R&D:** Foster collaborative research and knowledge exchange on climate-resilient crop varieties, water management techniques, and sustainable farming practices (e.g., agroforestry, organic farming) that can benefit both contexts and contribute to global food security amidst climate change.

- **Digital Agriculture Standards:** Collaborate on developing interoperable digital agriculture platforms and data standards to facilitate global trade, traceability, and knowledge sharing in precision farming.

- **Food Loss and Waste Solutions:** Share best practices and technologies for reducing food loss and waste across the entire supply chain, adapting solutions to address country-specific challenges (e.g., India's PHL vs. US consumer waste).

By understanding and strategically addressing their unique challenges while leveraging their respective strengths, both India and the US can enhance the resilience and scope of their agribusiness sectors, contributing significantly to global food security and sustainable economic growth.

Author Contributions
Author conceived the concept, wrote and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Funding
Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.

Competing interest
The author declares no competing interests.

Ethics approval
Not applicable.

Citation: Gurbir Singh (2026) Comparative Analysis of Agribusiness Scope: India vs. USA – Navigating Divergent Paths to Global Food Security and Economic Growth. Agron TIMES 1(1): 1-12.